arXiv:0912.1030v1 [math.RA] 5 Dec 2009

Zeros of 2×2 Matrix Polynomials

Marla Slusky*

December 5, 2009

Abstract

Consider the nth degree polynomial equation, $X^n + A_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + A_1X + A_0 = 0$ over the ring of 2×2 complex matrices. If this equation has more than $\binom{2n}{2}$ solutions, then it has infinitely many solutions. We show here that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \leq \binom{2n}{2}$, there exists an nth degree polynomial equation with exactly m solutions.

1 Introduction

Let R be a ring and let

$$f(X) = X^n + A_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + A_1X + A_0$$

be a polynomial in the indeterminate X with coefficients in R (with powers of X on the right side of the coefficients). It is well known that if R is a field, the number of solutions in R of $f(X) = 0$ is $\leq n$. If R is a division ring, then ([BW]) the number of solutions in R of $f(X) = 0$ is either $\leq n$ or infinite. In case R is the ring of $k \times k$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$, a number of authors (e.g., [FS], [G], [LR], [GLR]) have studied solutions of $f(X) = 0$. In particular, Fuchs and Schwartz have shown that in the generic case, $f(X) = 0$ has $\binom{kn}{k}$ diagonalizable solutions.

In this paper, we consider the case where R is the ring of 2×2 matrices over the complex numbers, $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. It is true (Proposition 1) that if the equation $f(X) = 0$ has more than $\binom{2n}{2}$ solutions then it has infinitely many. The main result of this paper is that every number of solutions $\leq \binom{2n}{2}$ can arise. That is,

Theorem 1. *Given $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \leq \binom{2n}{2}$, there exists an nth degree equation over 2×2 complex matrices that has exactly m solutions.*

*This paper represents results obtained at a Research Experience for Undergraduates program at Rutgers University. The author thanks her mentor, Robert Wilson, for his ideas and input.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls (from [FS]) a general method of solving such equations and proves that if there are more than $\binom{2n}{2}$ solutions, there are infinitely many. Section 3 provides a general algorithm for finding an nth degree equation with exactly m solutions provided that $m \leq \binom{2n}{2}$, except when m is 4 or 16. Section 4 deals with these special cases. The proof of theorem 1 is in Section 5.

2 Solving Matrix Polynomial Equations

Let X satisfy

$$X^n + A_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + A_1X + A_0 = 0 \tag{1}$$

where $X, A_{n-1}, \ldots, A_0 \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Since X is a matrix over the complex numbers, X must have an eigenvalue, λ, and a corresponding eigenvector, v. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (X^n + A_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + A_1X + A_0)v \\ &= X^nv + A_{n-1}X^{n-1}v + \cdots + A_1Xv + A_0v \\ &= \lambda^nv + A_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1}v + \cdots + A_1\lambda v + A_0v \\ &= (\lambda^nI + \lambda^{n-1}A_{n-1} + \cdots + \lambda A_1 + A_0)v \end{aligned}$$

meaning that the matrix $M(\lambda) = \lambda^nI + \lambda^{n-1}A_{n-1} + \cdots + \lambda A_1 + A_0$ must have a non-trivial nullspace. We shall call $M(t)$ the *corresponding polynomial matrix*. In order for $M(\lambda)$ to have a non-trivial nullspace, we need

$$\det(M(\lambda)) = 0. \tag{2}$$

Thus we need to solve the equation $\det(M(t)) = 0$ to get the possible eigenvalues. Since $\det(M(t))$ is a $2n$th degree polynomial, it has $2n$ roots, counting multiplicities. We call these roots, $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2n}$, the *critical values* of the equation since they are the only possibilities for eigenvalues of the solutions.

If we assume that λ is an eigenvalue of X, then it must have an eigenvector, v. This vector can be any non-zero vector in the nullspace of $M(\lambda)$. We call the nullspace of $M(\lambda)$ the λ-*critical space* and any nonzero vector in it a λ-*critical vector*.

Using this, we can now prove proposition 1.

Proposition 1. *If (1) has finitely many solutions, then there are at most* $\binom{2n}{2}$ *of them.*

Proof. The idea is that if there are p distinct critical values then there are at most $\binom{p}{2}$ diagonalizable solutions of (1); for each repeated root of $M(t)$ there is at most one non-diagonalizable solution; and non-repeated roots do not have associated non-diagonalizable solutions. Then the number of non-diagonalizable solutions is at most $2n-p$, so the total number of solutions is at most $\binom{p}{2}+2n-p$.

Since $p \leq 2n$, we have

$$\binom{p}{2} + 2n - p = 2n + \frac{p(p-3)}{2} \leq 2n + \frac{2n(2n-3)}{2} = \binom{2n}{2}.$$

We will now show that there are at most $\binom{p}{2}$ diagonalizable solutions. A diagonalizable solution is formed by taking two distinct critical values and their corresponding critical vectors, and using them to define a matrix. If there are p distinct critical values, then there are at most $\binom{p}{2}$ diagonalizable solutions.

We discount the case in which a critical value, λ, has a critical space with dimension greater than one. This is because in this case we can create infinitely many solutions by taking a critical value different from λ and its critical space together with λ and any of the one-dimensional subspaces of the λ-critical space. (If there is no critical value distinct from λ then there is only one-diagonalizable solution anyway.)

We will now show that if a critical value, λ, is not repeated, then there is no non-diagonalizable solution with λ as its eigenvalue. This is Theorem VIII.4 in [G]. If X is a non-diagonalizable solution to (1) with eigenvalue λ, then the characteristic polynomial of X has λ as a double root. However, $\det(M(t))$ is a multiple of the characteristic polynomial of X. Explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} M(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} A_{n-i+j+1} X^j \right) t^{n-i} \cdot (tI - X) \\ \det(M(t)) &= \det \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} A_{n-i+j+1} X^j \right) t^{n-i} \right) \cdot \det(tI - X) \end{aligned}$$

where $A_n = I$. Thus if X is non-diagonalizable, then its eigenvalue must be a repeated critical value of $M(t)$.

We now show that each repeated critical value has at most 1 non-diagonalizable solution associated with it.

Let $f(X) = X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + ... + A_0$. Assume Y and Z are distinct nilpotent solutions of (1). Then, since Y and Z are two by two matrices, $Y^2 = Z^2 = 0$, and so $0 = f(Y) = A_1 Y + A_0 = f(Z) = A_1 Z + A_0$. We will show that (1) has infinitely many solutions. If $A_1 = 0$ we have $0 = A_0$. Then any solution of $X^2 = 0$ is a solution of (1) and so there are infinitely many solutions. Now assume $A_1 \neq 0$. We have $A_1 Y + A_0 = A_1 Z + A_0$. Multiplying on the right by Z gives $A_1 YZ + A_0 Z = A_1 Z^2 + A_0 Z$ so that $A_1 YZ = 0$. Similarly, $A_1 ZY = 0$ and so $A_1(YZ - ZY) = 0$. Now $tr(YZ - ZY) = 0$ and since $A_1 \neq 0$ we have $det(YZ - ZY) = 0$. Thus $YZ - ZY$ is nilpotent and so $0 = (YZ - ZY)^2 = YZYZ + ZYZY$. But $(Y + Z)^4 = YZYZ + ZYZY$ so $Y + Z$ is nilpotent and hence $0 = (Y + Z)^2 = YZ + ZY$. Then $\mu Y + (1 - \mu)Z$ is a nilpotent solution of (1) for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now if Y is a non-diagonalizable 2 by 2 matrix, it has a single eigenvalue λ. If Y, Z are two distinct non-diagonalizable solutions of (1) with eigenvalue λ, then

$Y-\lambda I, Z-\lambda I$ are distinct nilpotent solutions of $(X+\lambda I)^2+A_1(X+\lambda I)+A_0=0$ so this equation and hence equation (1) have infinitely many solutions.

Thus, if (1) has finitely many solutions, the number of non-diagonalizable solutions of (1) is bounded by the number of repeated roots, say k, of $M(t)=0$. Since the number of distinct roots is $\leq 2n-k$, the number of diagonalizable solutions is $\leq \binom{2n-k}{2}$ and the total number of solutions is $\leq \binom{2n-k}{2}+k \leq \binom{2n}{2}$. □

3 Finding Equations with Exactly m Solutions

In this section, we will show how to find equations with exactly m solutions, all of which are diagonalizable.

The crux of being able to find our equation with exactly m solutions is choosing the critical vectors for the equation to have. We want to choose the vectors in such a way that exactly m pairs of these vectors are linearly independent. However, it is not always possible to find a set of $2n$ vectors with this property.

The first subsection shows a way to create an equation that has only p distinct critical values ($p \leq 2n$) but does not have any two-dimensional critical space or non-diagonalizable solutions. The second subsection shows how to choose the configuration of the p critical vectors such that exactly m pairs are linearly independent. The third subsection shows how to choose the critical values and vectors such that a polynomial with the desired properties exists.

3.1 Cutting down the number of critical values

Since we may not always want $2n$ distinct critical values, this lemma shows a way to make an equation with only p distinct critical values by making one of the critical values have multiplicity $\bar{p}=2n-p+1$ while keeping the critical space at dimension 1 and not creating any non-diagonalizable solutions. How to choose p and $\bar{p}$ is discussed in lemma 2.

Let $A_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{(i)} & a_{12}^{(i)} \\ a_{21}^{(i)} & a_{22}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $n, \bar{p} \in \mathbb{N}$, $2n \geq \bar{p}$. Assume that either*

1. *$\bar{p} \leq n$, and $a_{11}^{(i)} = a_{21}^{(i)} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq \bar{p}-1$*
2. *$\bar{p} > n$, and $a_{11}^{(i)} = a_{21}^{(i)} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $a_{22}^{(i)} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq \bar{p}-n-1$*

Then 0 is a critical value of equation (1) with multiplicity $\geq \bar{p}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a 0-critical vector of (1).

Furthermore, if condition 1 holds and the multiplicity of 0 is exactly $\bar{p}$, or if condition 2 holds and $a_{12}^{(0)} \neq 0$ then the 0-critical space of (1) is exactly span $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and there are no nilpotent solutions.

Proof.

Case 1: $\bar{p} \leq n$

The polynomial matrix, $M(t)$, is

$$\begin{bmatrix} t^n + a_{11}^{(n-1)}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{11}^{(\bar{p})}t^{\bar{p}} & a_{12}^{(n-1)}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{12}^{(0)} \\ a_{21}^{(n-1)}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{21}^{(\bar{p})}t^{\bar{p}} & t^n + a_{22}^{(n-1)}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{22}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The determinant of this is

$$t^{\bar{p}} \cdot det \begin{bmatrix} t^{n-\bar{p}} + a_{11}^{(n-1)}t^{n-\bar{p}-1} + \cdots + a_{11}^{(\bar{p})} & a_{12}^{(n-1)}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{12}^{(0)} \\ a_{21}^{(n-1)}t^{n-\bar{p}-1} + \cdots + a_{21}^{(\bar{p})} & t^n + a_{22}^{(n-1)}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{22}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus 0 is an critical value with multiplicity at least $\bar{p}$.

Case 2: $\bar{p} > n$

The polynomial matrix, $M(t)$, is

$$\begin{bmatrix} t^n & a_{12}^{(n-1)}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{12}^{(0)} \\ 0 & t^n + a_{22}^{(n-1)}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{22}^{(\bar{p}-n)}t^{\bar{p}-n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

As this matrix is upper triangular, its determinant is $t^{2n} + a_{22}^{(n-1)}t^{2n-1} + \ldots + a_{22}^{(\bar{p}-n)}t^{\bar{p}}$. Thus 0 is an critical value with multiplicity at least $\bar{p}$.

Note that in the first case, the multiplicity of 0 is exactly $\bar{p}$ if and only if $\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{(\bar{p})} & a_{12}^{(0)} \\ a_{21}^{(\bar{p})} & a_{22}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}\right) \neq 0$. In particular, this means that $\begin{bmatrix} a_{12}^{(0)} \\ a_{22}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$. In the second case, we required that $a_{12}^{(0)} \neq 0$, so it is also true here that $\begin{bmatrix} a_{12}^{(0)} \\ a_{22}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$

To find the 0-critical space, consider $M(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12}^{(0)} \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}$. We can see that $v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{null}(M(0))$, so $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a 0-critical vector. If the multiplicity of 0 is exactly $\bar{p}$ then $a_{12}^{(0)}$ and $a_{22}^{(0)}$ are not both 0 so the 0-critical space is exactly $\text{span}\{v\}$.

To show that when the multiplicity of 0 is exactly $\bar{p}$ there are no nilpotent solutions, assume X is a nilpotent solution to (1), $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. Since X has eigenvalue 0 with eigenvector $v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, X must have the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_{12} \\ 0 & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. Since X is non-diagonalizable, there exists a vector $w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix}$ such that

$Xw = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Since $X^2 = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X^n w + A_{n-1}X^{n-1}w + \cdots + A_1 X w + A_0 w \\ &= A_1 X w + A_0 w \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12}^{(1)} \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} Xw + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12}^{(0)} \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} w \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12}^{(1)} \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} v + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12}^{(0)} \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} w \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_{12}^{(0)} w_2 \\ a_{22}^{(0)} w_2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{12}^{(0)}$ and $a_{22}^{(0)}$ are not both 0, $w_2 = 0$, so $w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{span}\{v\}$. Thus $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = Xw = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, a contradiction. □

3.2 Cutting down the number of linearly independent pairs of critical vectors

This lemma shows how many of the critical values should be distinct, and how to construct a configuration of vectors such that exactly m pairs are linearly independent.

Recall that the critical value 0 plays a distinguished role as it may have multiplicity > 1.

Lemma 2. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \neq 4, 16$, let p be the integer such that*

$$\binom{p-1}{2} < m \leq \binom{p}{2}. \tag{3}$$

There exists an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathbb{N}_p$, where $\mathbb{N}_p = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$, such that

1. *the set $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}_p \times \mathbb{N}_p | x < y$, and $x \not\sim y\}$ has exactly m elements*
2. *0 is in an equivalence class by itself.*
3. *No equivalence class has more than $\lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil$ elements*

Proof. We will define $\sim$ to satisfy 1 and 2 in each of several cases. We will defer the verification that $\sim$ also satisfies 3 until the end of the proof.

When we define $\sim$, we want the number of pairs that are not equivalent to be m, so we want the number of pairs that are equivalent to be $\binom{p}{2} - m$. Let a and b be the integers such that $\binom{p}{2} - m = 3a + b$ where $0 \leq b < 2$.

Now, by (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{p-1}{2} &< m \\ 1-p = \binom{p-1}{2} - \binom{p}{2} &< m - \binom{p}{2} \\ 3a+b+1 = \binom{p}{2} - m + 1 &< p \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

so $3a+b+1 \in \mathbb{N}_p$.

Case 1: $b = 0$
Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation corresponding to the following partition of $\mathbb{N}_p$

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\{0\}, \\ &\{1,2,3\},\{4,5,6\},\ldots,\{3a-2,3a-1,3a\}, \\ &\{3a+1\},\{3a+2\},\ldots,\{p-1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $3a+b+1 \in \mathbb{N}_p$, this is a partition of $\mathbb{N}_p$. Since each set of three creates 3 equivalent pairs, and there are a groups of three, there are $3a = \binom{p}{2} - m$ pairs that are equivalent and m pairs are not.

Case 2: $b = 1$
Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation corresponding to the following partition of $\mathbb{N}_p$

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\{0\}, \\ &\{1,2,3\},\{4,5,6\},\ldots,\{3a-2,3a-1,3a\}, \\ &\{3a+1,3a+2\} \\ &\{3a+3\},\{3a+4\},\ldots,\{p-1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $3a+b+1 \in \mathbb{N}_p$, this is a partition of $\mathbb{N}_p$. Each set of three creates 3 equivalent pairs, and the set of two creates 1 equivalent pair. There are a sets of three, and 1 set of two so there are $3a+1 = \binom{p}{2} - m$ pairs that are equivalent and m pairs are not.

Case 3a: $b = 2$ and $a \geq 2$
Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation corresponding to the following partition of $\mathbb{N}_p$

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\{0\}, \\ &\{1,2,3\},\{4,5,6\},\ldots,\{3a-8,3a-7,3a-6\}, \\ &\{3a-5,3a-4,3a-3,3a-2\}, \\ &\{3a-1,3a\},\{3a+1,3a+2\}, \\ &\{3a+3\},\{3a+4\},\ldots,\{p-1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Each set of three creates 3 equivalent pairs, each set of two creates 1 equivalent pair, and the set of four creates 6 equivalent pairs. Thus there are $3(a-2)+6+2 = 3a+2 = \binom{p}{2} - m$ pairs that are equivalent and m pairs that are not.

Case 3b: $b = 2$ and $p > 3a+4$ Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation corresponding to the following partition of $\mathbb{N}_p$

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\{0\},\\ &\{1,2,3\},\{4,5,6\},\ldots,\{3a-2,3a-1,3a\},\\ &\{3a+1,3a+2\},\{3a+3,3a+4\},\\ &\{3a+5\},\{3a+6\},\ldots,\{p-1\}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Each set of three creates 3 equivalent pairs, each set of two creates 1 equivalent pair, Thus there are $3a+2 = \binom{p}{2} - m$ pairs that are equivalent and m pairs that are not.

Note that the two parts of the $b = 2$ case are not mutually exclusive, and they do not cover all the $b = 2$ cases. The cases that are not covered are the ones in which $b = 2$, $a < 2$, and $p \leq 3a+4$.

If $b = 2$, $a = 0$ and $p \leq 3a+4$,then $p \leq 4$. But by (4), $3 = 3a+b+1 < p$ so $p = 4$ and hence $m = \binom{4}{2} - 2 = 4$. Thus $m = 4$ is one of our special cases.

If $b = 2$, $a = 1$, and $p \leq 3a+4$, then $p \leq 7$. But by (4), $6 = 3a+b+1 < p$, so $p = 7$ and hence $m = \binom{7}{2} - 5 = 16$ So $m = 16$ is our other special case.

Our construction of the equivalence relations satisfied the first two conditions. We have yet to prove that no equivalence class has more than $\lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil$ elements.

First of all, notice that none of our constructed equivalence classes have more than 4 elements, so if $p \geq 7$, then we are done.

The only case in which we have more than 3 elements in one equivalence class is when $b = 2$ and $a \geq 2$. But then, by (4),

$$9 \leq 3a+b+1 < p.$$

Thus case 3a does not occur when $p < 7$, so when $p \leq 9$, we will not have any equivalence classes with more than 3 elements. Thus if $p \geq 5$, we are done.

If $p \leq 4$, then by (4), $3a+b+1 < p \leq 4$ so $3a+b \leq 2$ and $a = 0$. However, if $a = 0$ then none of the other cases require an equivalence class of three elements. Thus if $p \leq 4$, all equivalence classes have at most two elements, so for $p \geq 3$, we are done.

If $p \leq 2$, then $m = \binom{p}{2}$, so $a = b = 0$. This falls into case 1 with none of the elements being equivalent to anything other than itself.

Now we have satisfied all three conditions, so except for our special cases ($m = 16$ and $m = 4$), we can create the required equivalence relation. $\square$

3.3 Choosing the numbers

The previous lemma ensured that no equivalence class has more than $\lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil$ elements. Since $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \leq 2n$, this means that no equivalence class has more than n elements.

Lemma 3. *Given*

1. $n \in \mathbb{N}$
2. $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $2 \leq p \leq 2n$
3. *an equivalence relation,* $\sim$ *on* $\mathbb{N}_p$*, such that 0 is only equivalent to itself, and no equivalence class has more than* n *elements*

it is possible to choose 2-dimensional vectors $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}$ *and scalars* $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{p-1}$ *such that*

1. v_i *and* v_j *are linearly dependent if and only if* $i \sim j$
2. *there exists an* n*th degree equation over 2 by 2 matrices that has critical values* $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{p-1}$*, and the critical space corresponding to* λ_i *is* $span(v_i)$*.*
3. *the equation has no non-diagonalizable solutions.*

Proof.

Choosing the critical vectors Let $v_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and let all the others be of the form $v_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ y_i \end{bmatrix}$ where $y_i \neq 0$ and $v_i = v_j \Leftrightarrow i \sim j$.

Choosing the critical values Let $\lambda_0 = 0$ and let λ_i be an nth root of y_i. Furthermore, take the λ_i to be distinct. This is possible because there are at most n numbers in the same equivalence class, so no more than n of the y_i will be the same.

Tying it together and proving that it works Let $\bar{p} = 2n - p + 1$. If $\bar{p} \leq n$, we use case 1 of lemma 1 and our equation will have a corresponding polynomial matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} t^n + a_{11}^{(n-1)} t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{11}^{(\bar{p})} t^{\bar{p}} & a_{12}^{(n-1)} t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{12}^{(0)} \\ a_{21}^{(n-1)} t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{21}^{(\bar{p})} t^{\bar{p}} & t^n + a_{22}^{(n-1)} t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{22}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We need to choose the $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ so that when we plug in λ_i for t, we get a matrix with a null space spanned by v_i. First let us focus on finding the $a_{1j}^{(k)}$, i.e., on

finding the first row of each A_k. (Finding the $a_{2j}^{(k)}$ is similar.) Since the first component of $M(\lambda_i)v_i$ must be 0, we obtain the equation

$$a_{11}^{(\bar{p})}\lambda_i^{\bar{p}} + \cdots + a_{11}^{(n-1)}\lambda_i^{n-1} + a_{12}^{(0)}y_i + \cdots + a_{12}^{(n-1)}\lambda_i^{n-1}y_i = -\lambda_i^n.$$

The corresponding system of $p-1$ linear equations (in the $a_{ij}^{(k)}$) may be written in the following matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_1^{\bar{p}+1} & \cdots & \lambda_1^{n-1} & y_1 & \lambda_1 y_1 & \cdots & \lambda_1^{n-1}y_1 \\ \lambda_2^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_2^{\bar{p}+1} & \cdots & \lambda_2^{n-1} & y_2 & \lambda_2 y_2 & \cdots & \lambda_2^{n-1}y_2 \\ & & & & \vdots & & & \\ \lambda_{p-1}^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_{p-1}^{\bar{p}+1} & \cdots & \lambda_{p-1}^{n-1} & y_{p-1} & \lambda_{p-1}y_{p-1} & \cdots & \lambda_{p-1}^{n-1}y_{p-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{(\bar{p})} \\ a_{11}^{(\bar{p}+1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{11}^{(n-1)} \\ a_{12}^{(0)} \\ a_{12}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{12}^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_1^n \\ -\lambda_2^n \\ \vdots \\ -\lambda_{p-1}^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

(We do not need to include the 0th equation because lemma 1 ensures that λ_0 and v_0 will be a critical value/critical vector pair.) Because we chose each λ_i to be an nth root of y_i, we have that $y_i = \lambda_i^n$. Thus this equation can be written

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_1^{\bar{p}+1} & \cdots & \lambda_1^{2n-1} \\ \lambda_2^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_2^{\bar{p}+1} & \cdots & \lambda_2^{2n-1} \\ & \vdots & & \\ \lambda_{p-1}^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_{p-1}^{\bar{p}+1} & \cdots & \lambda_{p-1}^{2n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{(\bar{p})} \\ a_{11}^{(\bar{p}+1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{11}^{(n-1)} \\ a_{12}^{(0)} \\ a_{12}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{12}^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_0^n \\ -\lambda_1^n \\ -\lambda_2^n \\ \vdots \\ -\lambda_{p-1}^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the coefficient matrix is square ($2n - \bar{p} = p - 1$). The determinant of

this matrix is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_1^{\bar{p}+1} & \dots & \lambda_1^{2n-1} \\ \lambda_2^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_2^{\bar{p}+1} & \dots & \lambda_2^{2n-1} \\ & & \vdots & \\ \lambda_{p-1}^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_{p-1}^{\bar{p}+1} & \dots & \lambda_{p-1}^{2n-1} \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
= & \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i^{\bar{p}} \cdot \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_1^2 & \dots & \lambda_1^{p-2} \\ 1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2^2 & \dots & \lambda_2^{p-2} \\ & & \vdots & & \\ 1 & \lambda_{p-1} & \lambda_{p-1}^2 & \dots & \lambda_{p-1}^{p-2} \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
= & \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i^{\bar{p}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{p-1} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)
\end{aligned}$$

(with the last equality following from the formula for the determinant of a Vandermonde matrix). Since none of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{p-1}$ are zero, and all of our λ_i are distinct, the determinant is non-zero so the system of equations is consistent.

If $\bar{p} > n$, then take $a_{11}^{(i)} = a_{21}^{(i)} = 0$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$, $a_{22}^{(i)} = 0$ for $0 \le i \le \bar{p}-n-1$, as specified by lemma 1. Also take $a_{12}^{(i)} = 0$ for $p-1 \le i \le n-1$. This does not conflict with the restriction that $a_{12}^{(0)} \neq 0$ because we required $2 \le p$. The matrix polynomial looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} t^n & a_{12}^{(p-2)} t^{p-2} + \dots + a_{12}^{(0)} \\ 0 & t^n + a_{22}^{(n-1)} t^{n-1} + \dots + a_{22}^{(\bar{p}-n)} t^{\bar{p}-n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

To find the coefficients of the first row, we set up the equations in the matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_1\lambda_1 & y_1\lambda_1^2 & \dots & \lambda_1^{p-2} y_1 \\ y_2 & y_2\lambda_2 & y_2\lambda_2^2 & \dots & \lambda_2^{p-2} y_2 \\ & & \vdots & & \\ y_{p-1} & y_{p-1}\lambda_{p-1} & y_{p-1}\lambda_{p-1}^2 & \dots & \lambda_{p-1}^{p-2} y_{p-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{12}^{(0)} \\ a_{12}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{12}^{(p-2)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_1^n \\ -\lambda_2^n \\ \vdots \\ -\lambda_{p-1}^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $y_i = \lambda_i^n$ for $1 \le i \le p-1$, we see that the first column of the coefficient matrix is the negative of the vector on the right-hand side of the equation. Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{12}^{(0)} \\ a_{12}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{12}^{(p-2)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a solution to the system of equations. Note that this shows $a_{12}^{(0)} \neq 0$ so the hypothesis of Lemma 1 is satisfied.

To find the coefficients of the second row, we use the matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{\bar{p}-n} y_1 & \dots & \lambda_1^{n-1} y_1 \\ \lambda_2^{\bar{p}-n} y_2 & \dots & \lambda_2^{n-1} y_2 \\ & \vdots & \\ \lambda_{p-1}^{\bar{p}-n} y_{p-1} & \dots & \lambda_{p-1}^{n-1} y_{p-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{22}^{(\bar{p}-n)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{22}^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_1^n y_1 \\ -\lambda_2^n y_2 \\ \vdots \\ -\lambda_{p-1}^n y_{p-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the determinant of this matrix is

$$\begin{aligned} & \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{\bar{p}-n} y_1 & \dots & \lambda_1^{n-1} y_1 \\ \lambda_2^{\bar{p}-n} y_2 & \dots & \lambda_2^{n-1} y_2 \\ & \vdots & \\ \lambda_{p-1}^{\bar{p}-n} y_{p-1} & \dots & \lambda_{p-1}^{n-1} y_{p-1} \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ = & \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_1^{\bar{p}+1} & \dots & \lambda_1^{2n-1} \\ \lambda_2^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_2^{\bar{p}+1} & \dots & \lambda_2^{2n-1} \\ & \vdots & & \\ \lambda_{p-1}^{\bar{p}} & \lambda_{p-1}^{\bar{p}+1} & \dots & \lambda_{p-1}^{2n-1} \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ = & \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i^{\bar{p}} \cdot \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_1^2 & \dots & \lambda_1^{p-2} \\ 1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2^2 & \dots & \lambda_2^{p-2} \\ & \vdots & & & \\ 1 & \lambda_{p-1} & \lambda_{p-1}^2 & \dots & \lambda_{p-1}^{p-2} \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ = & \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i^{\bar{p}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{p-1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_1, \dots \lambda_{p-1}$ are all distinct and non-zero, the determinant is non-zero so this system is solvable.

Because we chose $p-1$ non-zero distinct critical values for the equation, 0 cannot have a multiplicity of greater than $2n-(p-1) = \bar{p}$. Lemma 1 assures us that 0 will have multiplicity at least $\bar{p}$, so 0 must have multiplicity exactly $\bar{p}$. Then we can use the second part of lemma 1. Thus the 0-critical space is 1-dimensional, and (1) has no nilpotent solutions. □

4 Special Cases

For $m = 4$, we must have $4 = m \leq \binom{2n}{2}$, so $n \geq 2$. Then we may use the equation whose corresponding polynomial matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} (t-1)(t+1)^{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (t-2)(t+2)^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

To show that this has no non-diagonalizable solution with an eigenvalue -1 in general, use a change of variables $u = t + 1$ so we are looking for a non-diagonalizable solution with eigenvalue 0. The polynomial matrix becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} (u-2)u^{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (u-3)(u+1)^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

the 0-critical space of this equation is span $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ so X must have the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_{12} \\ 0 & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. Since X is nilpotent, $x_{22} = 0$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= A_1 X + A_0 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{11}^{(1)} x_{12} \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, thus there are no non-diagonalizable solutions. The argument for why there is no non-diagonalizable solutions with -2 as an eigenvalue is similar.

For $m = 16$, we must have $16 = m \leq \binom{2n}{2}$, so $n \geq 4$. Then we may use the equation whose corresponding matrix polynomial is

$$\begin{bmatrix} (t-3)(t+3)(t-1)(t+1)^{n-3} & 0 \\ 0 & (t-4)(t+4)(t-2)(t+2)^{n-3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward why there are exactly 16 diagonalizable solutions, and the reason why there are no non-diagonalizable solutions is the same as for when $m = 4$.

5 Conclusion

This section proves the main result of this paper, theorem 1. We assume $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \leq \binom{2n}{2}$, and show that there exists an nth degree equation over 2×2 complex matrices that has exactly m solutions.

If $m \neq 4, 16$, use lemma (2) and m to define the number of distinct critical values that we want our equation to have, p, and the equivalence relation, $\sim$, that we want to determine which critical spaces will be distinct.

All the conditions of lemma (3) are satisfied by the conclusions of lemma (2) except $p \leq 2n$. This condition is satisfied because $\binom{p-1}{2} < m \leq \binom{2n}{2}$ so $p - 1 < 2n$ so we get $p \leq 2n$ as desired. We can then use lemma (3) to produce our equation.

Since lemma (3) promises that we will not have any non-diagonalizable solutions and that all our critical spaces will be one-dimensional, all our solutions

will have two different eigenvalues. By our choice of $\sim$, we have exactly m ways to choose two critical value/critical vector pairs such that the critical vectors are linearly independent. Thus we have exactly m solutions. The special cases of $m = 4, 16$ have been dealt with in section 4 so the proof is complete.

References

[FS] D. Fuchs, A. Schwarz., A Matrix Vieta Theorem, E.B. Dynkin Seminar, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser 2 **196** (1996).

[BW] U. Bray, G. Whaples, Polynomials with Coefficients from a Division Ring, Canad. J. Math, **35** (1983), 509-515

[G] F. Gantmakher, The theory of matrices, Vol. 1, Chelsea, New York 1959

[GLR] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, and L. Rodman, *Matrix polynomials*, Academic Press, 1982

[LR] P. Lancaster and L. Rodman, *Algebraic Riccati Equations*, Clarendon Press, 1995

Address:
Rutgers University, Department of Mathematics
110 Frelinghuysen Rd
Piscataway, NJ 08854
E-mail address: mslusky@gmail.com